

Good ecological status?

Workshop on salmon and sea trout and their habitats

Loch Torridon Community Centre 23rd April 2024

https://www.facebook.com/WRFT22

www.wrft.org.uk



Still too many sea lice in coastal waters? ...

Sea Lice and their damage on

Peter Cunningham (WRFT Biologist)

- What is a sea louse?
- What is a sea trout?
- Why are sea lice a problem for wild sea trout and salmon?
- Sea louse monitoring results for 2023
- Sea lice regulation to protect wild fish?
- Future prospects . . .



re.org/communities/uk-and-ireland/view/observation/859403/sea-lice-and-their-damage-on-sea-trout





•Sea lice are naturally occurring parasites of fish.

•Larval *Lepeophtheirus salmonis* attach to salmon and sea trout and grow by eating the mucus, blood and skin of their host fish.





Lepeophtheirus salmonis (James Butler)



Sea trout of 281mm taken in the sweep net at Flowerdale on 19th May 2015. This fish carried an estimated 500 mostly chalimus stag lice. Note the descaled area below the dorsal fin associated with a bird attack (photo by James Merryweather).

What is a sea trout?

- A sea trout is a Brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) which spends part of its life in the sea.
- After 2 to 4 years in freshwater, sea trout tend to go to sea for the first time, when they are about 12cm to 18cm long.
- Most sea trout spend at least summer months in the sea; they return to rivers in the autumn to spawn and may over winter in freshwater or in the sea.
- Sea trout may survive to spawn many times.



Wester Ross Wild Trout diversity

illustrated by Paul Vecsei











Fionn Loch brown trout, Sept 2017

A special place for conserving wild fish genetic diversity . . .



Trout caught by Ala Mackenzie, 2004

Fionn Loch from Beinn Airigh charr



Piscivorous trout and prey: juvenile char, salmon & trout Fionn Loch, Sept 2017

The Loch Maree Sea trout Fishery

15+ boats with ghillies through summer and early autumn











Loch Maree had a reputation for large sea trout

Former British record rod caught sea trout

The Loch Maree sea trout fishery collapsed at the end of the 1980s. The big sea trout have never reappeared. fishing effort has been much less in recent years than in the 1980s.



Why are sea lice a problem?



Loch Gairloch Sea trout, 19th May 2015: approximately 500 lice . . .





Why are sea lice a problem?

Numbers of farmed salmon in Scotland have increased greatly in the past 30 years providing many more hosts for parasitic sea lice . . .



but sea lice numbers have not been controlled to protect wild fish

Aird salmon farm, Loch Torridon October 2016 Middlemas *et al* 2012 analysed fishery trust data and showed that there was a correlation between lice levels on sea trout post-smolts and the proximity of salmon farms in the 2nd year of the farm salmon production cycle.



Relationship between sea lice levels on sea trout and fish farm activity in western Scotland, Figure 4: Fitted relationships between the probability of sea trout exceeding the critical lice burden (p) and distance to nearest farm (in km) calculated using the median fork length of sea trout (160 mm). Relationships are presented using the typical weight of individual salmon on farms in the first (0.2 kg) and second (3 kg) years of production. The line shows the fitted relationship with the shaded areas representing the 95% pointwise likelihood bands.

Fisheries Management and Ecology <u>Volume 20, Issue 1, pages 68-74, 5 NOV 2012 DOI: 10.1111/fme.12010</u> http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/fme.12010/full#fme12010-fig-0004

Directorate

scientists are

currently writing

up results from

many years of

research and

monitoring in

Loch Torridon . . .



Scottish Government Riaghaltas na h-Alba

About

ews Publications Statis

Home > Publications

Publication - Research and analysis

Aquaculture Interactions: Shieldaig Field Station

Published: **16 September 2014** Directorate: <u>Marine Directorate</u> Part of: <u>Marine and fisheries</u> Concerns regarding declining sea trout populations on the West Coast of Scotland in the late 1980s and early 1990s led Scottish Government to set up a long term monitoring programme on the River Shieldaig.



Q

Search

Blogs





Wild salmon post-smolts are also infected by sea lice.

For example, young salmon from the River Carron have to pass many active salmon farms as they head out into the Minch.

These fish may be exposed to very high numbers of sea lice.

Unlike sea trout, post-smolt salmon do not return to freshwater if they get too many sea lice on them.



Carron smolt year class performance (assumes nearly all MSW fish are 2SW)



Smolt year class & year of production cycle of nearby farms

Rod catches of wild salmon are collapsing where there are many farmed salmon.

Some native, locally adapted wild salmon populations are now critically endangered, if not already gone.









In Norway scientists have been able to quantify the impact of sea lice on wild salmon numbers . . .



orwegian Scientific Advisory Committee for Atlantic Salmon e status of Norwegian svid Atlantic salmon is evaluated annually by the Norwegian Scientific Advis ministre for Atlantic Salmon. This is in a English summary of the 201 report. The committee is appointed by the Norwegian Invivonment Agency to evaluate status of sal alignortance of different threast, and to give science-based catch advice and advisce on other issues to

Ail salmon management. Thirtens scientists from seven institutions serve on the committee Torbjum Forseth (leader), Sigual ma, Poder Fiske, Morten Fälkagtud, Oyvind A. Garmo, Ase Holen Garseth, Helge Skoglund, Mornia F. Fenger, Fis R. Thorsten, Kill Roog (Irm, Arbiorn Vollestal, Arabur Wiki Vollest and Viat Wonneavi. Fimittee is an independent body, and the members do not represent the institutions where they are koyed when serving on the committee.

ntact: Torbjørn Forseth (torbjørn.forseth@nima.no), Eva B. Thorstad (eva.thorstad@nima.no), F der.fiske@nima.no), or any other member of the committe. www.vitenskapsradet.no Estimated impacts of salmon lice on the abundance of adult Atlantic salmon returning from the ocean for spawning in 2019

- < 5% reduction in numbers of returning spawners</p>
- 5% 9.9% reduction in numbers of returning spawners
- 10%-30% reduction in the number of returning spawners
- >30% reduction in the number of returning spawners





http://www.imr.no/lakseluskart/html/lakseluskart.html

Sea lice monitoring by WRFT in 2023

The Scottish Government Scotland



- Kanaird estuary sweep netting
- Loch Ewe (Boor) coastal fyke net
- Gairloch (Flowerdale) sweep netting
- Applecross sweep netting





Applecross 25th May 2023



560 570 580 590 600 610 620 630 640 650 660 670 680 690 700 710 720 730 740 750 760 770 780 790 900 810 820 830 840 850 860 870 88



Applecross Fish number on field sheet Recorded on ontion on field sheet Pre-adult on ontion on ontion on ontion on ontion on the standard on ontion on the standard onto onto ontion on the standard onto onto onto onto onto onto onto ont	Projected mortality %
Apprecious damage caudal fin score damage caudal fin s	mortality %
condition score ? weight	
1 Sea trout 397 612 0.98 360 0 0 360 0.588 3 0 n v v photo of dorsal fin Yes 0.588 >0.3 100% 16 42 38.10	8.10
2 Sea trout 313 274 0.89 300 0 0 300 1.095 3 4 y y bird beak scar Yes 1.095 0.2-0.3 50% 2 4.76	2.38
3 Sea trout 503 1085 0.85 60 0 0 60 0.055 3 0 n y y at least 200 lice spots on tar Yes 0.055 0.1-0.2 20% 1 2.38	0.48
4 Sea trout 283 185 0.82 5 2 0 7 0.038 1 0 n y y No 0.038 <0.1 0% 23 54.76	0.00 40.95
5 Sea trout 333 363 0.98 300 1 0 301 0.829 2 0 n y caudal fin eroded Yes 0.829	
For Caol 6 Sea trout 362 408 0.86 200 0 0 200 0.490 2 1 n y y Yes 0.490 1	
7 Sea trout 330 280 0.78 0 0 0 0 1 n y y No 0.000 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 n y y No 0.000 1	
8 Sea trout 308 260 0.89 0 0 0 0 1 0 n y y lice off (lice spots) No 0.000 1 0 n y y lice off (lice spots) No 0.000 1 0 n y y lice off (lice spots) No 0.000 1 0 n y y lice off (lice spots) No 0.000 1 0 n y y lice off (lice spots) No 0.000 1 0 n y y lice off (lice spots) No 0.000 1 0 n y y lice off (lice spots) No 0.000 1 0 n y y old predator damage y y y y old predator da	
9 Sea front 305 250 0.85 35 0 0 35 0.255 2.5 1 y y y old predator damage Yes 0.316 0 1 y y y y old predator damage Yes 0.316 1 y y y y old predator damage Yes 0.316 1 y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y <thy< th=""></thy<>	
Salmon 13 Sea trout 350 382 0.89 350 0 0 350 0.916 1.5 1 n v v	
14 Sea trout 299 235.2 0.88 550 0 0 550 2.338 1.5 0 n y y smart phone photos Yes 2.338 1.5 0 n y y smart phone photos Yes 2.338 1.5 0 n y y smart phone photos Yes 2.338 1.5 0 n y y smart phone photos Yes 2.338 1.5 0 n y y smart phone photos Yes 2.338 1.5 0 n y y smart phone photos Yes 2.338 1.5 0 n y y smart phone photos Yes 2.338 1.5 0 n y y smart phone photos Yes 2.338 1.5 0 n y y smart phone photos Yes 2.338 1.5 0 n y y smart phone photos Yes 2.338 1.5 1.5	
tarming 15 Sea trout 305 256 0.90 250 0 0 250 0.977 2 0 n y y Yes 0.977 1 1	
16 Sea trout 315 271 0.87 88 0 0 88 0.325 1 0 n y y Yes 0.325 0 0	
INP 17 Sea trout 335 340 0.90 120 0 120 0.353 2 10 n y y many lice spots (lice off) Yes 0.353	
18 Sea trout 297 230 0.88 20 1 0 21 0.091 1 0 n y y Yes 0.091	
19 Sea trout 320 262 0.80 0 0 0 0.000 0.5 3 n y y lice off (lice spots) No 0.000	
20 Sea trout 292 170 0.68 5 1 0 6 0.035 2.5 0 y y y tail damaged, lice off No 0.035 0	
Sample #1: <u>26 Sea trout 340 395 1.00 60 0 0 60 0.152 2 0 n y y lice off, fatter fish Yes 0.152 </u>	
2/ Sea trout 352 360 0.83 180 0 180 0.500 2 0 n y y y/2 photos of fish Yes 0.500 1 OF LL B.6 38 582 500 1.80 0 0 180 0.500 2 0 n y y y/2 photos of fish Yes 0.500 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
25th May 28 Sea trout 336 330 0.87 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
30 Sea trout 363 465 0.97 420 0 0 420 0.903 2 0 n v v v V Ves 0.903 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 <th0< th=""> 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 <th0< th=""> 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 <th0< th=""> 0 0 0</th0<></th0<></th0<>	
2023 <u>31 Sea trout</u> 307 260 0.90 3 0 0 3 0.012 1 0 n v v lice off, healed No 0.012	
32 Sea trout 320 283 0.86 3 1 0 4 0.014 3 0 y y minor predator damage No 0.014	
33 Sea trout 293 221.4 0.88 100 0 100 0.452 2 0 n y y Yes 0.452	
Marst a f the sea trout 34 Sea trout 296 228.2 0.88 400 0 400 1.753 2 0 n y y Yes 1.753	
VIOST OT TNE 35 Sea trout 342 352.0 0.88 220 1 0 221 0.628 2 2 y y old predator damage Yes 0.628	
36 Sea trout 294 223.6 0.88 0 0 0 0 1 3 n y y No 0.000	
Iarger Sea 37 Sea trout 306 252.1 0.88 10 1 0 1 0 n y y No 0.044	
38 Sea trout 295 225.9 0.88 0 0 0 0.000 1.5 1 n y y lice off (lice spots) No 0.000	
Trout in the Averages for sea trout >200mm in length 327 0.88 139 0 0 139 0.435 1.76 0.94	
Smalls and recent post-smalls (less than 200mm in length)	
Sample <u>Seatrout</u> 162 37 0.88 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 n v v V No 0.000	
Inclusion Inclusion <t< td=""><td></td></t<>	
No 0.000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 <td></td>	
O D coop line 22 Sea trout 160 36 0.88 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 n y y stocked? No 0.000 0 0 0	
U.3 Sed IICe 24 Sea trout 170 43 0.88 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 N V V V NO 0.000	
25 Sea trout 155 33 0.88 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 n y y hook scar deformity No 0.000 0 0 0 0	
PET gldIII 40 Sea trout 140 24 0.88 5 0 0 5 0.207 0 0 n y y No 0.207	
41 Estuary trout 155 33 0.88 0 0 0 0 0 n y y No 0.000	
42 Estuary trout 145 27 0.88 0 0 0 0 0 n y y No 0.000	
prown trout 20 Result to 220 107 0.82 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 <th0< td=""><td></td></th0<>	
23 Brown trout 140 24 0.88 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	

Applecross 21st June 2023



Applecross 21st June2023







Applecross 19th July 2023



Where did the lice on sea trout at Applecross in May and June 2023 come from?

Figure 3. Reproduced from Figure 1 from Gillibrand (2019) Modelling the Dispersal of Sea Lice Larvae from Scalpay Salmon Farm. MOWI Scotland Ltd. Note the location of the modelled sea lice 'hotspot', just 5km to the west of Applecross.



Figure 1. Predicted mean lice density (lice m⁻²) for April – June from Simulation 1 (Scalpay only) with average adult female lice count of 0.2 (left), 0.5 (middle) and 1.0 (right). Note that the colour scale is logarithmic, indicating orders of magnitude of density, with a highest value of 1 copepodid per m².

Where did the lice on sea trout at Applecross in May and June 2023 come from?

Caol Mor Environmental Monitoring Programme Sea Lice Dispersal Modelling, April – June 2023

Introduction

Mowi undertook modelling of the dispersal of sea lice larvae from the four EMP sites at Scalpay, Maol Ban, Caridh and Sconser Quarry for the period 1^{α} March – 23^{α} June 2023. The modelling approach used a particle tracking model coupled to the output from an independent hydrodynamic model WestCOMS, operated by the Scottish Association for Marine Science (SAMS; Aleynik et al., 2016). The particle tracking model was Mowi's in-house model, UnPTRACK (Gillibrand, 2022), which has been developed over the past twenty years. The sources of lice larvae input to the particle tracking model were taken from weekly farm lice counts during March – June 2023. Outputs form the modelling show the predicted densities of *infective* sea lice larvae, both as spatial maps and as time series at areas of interest e.g. at Applecross.

Inputs

Hydrodynamic Model: WestCOMS (SAMS), March – June 2023 (hourly 3D velocity, temperature, salinity, turbulence). The hourly 3D velocity, temperature and salinity fields for March – June 2023 were obtained from the SAMS THREDDS server: https://thredds.sams.ac.uk/thredds/catalog/catalog.html

Lice Counts: Weekly farm lice counts from the four EMP sites (Figure 2) were used together with weekly numbers of fish from each site.



Figure 1. Location of the four EMP sites at Caol Mor, and the location of the Applecross time series output (()).



Figure 2. Weekly farm lice counts (adult females per fish) at the Caol Mor sites for March – June 2023.

Model Results

The mean modelled copepodid density arising from the EMP sites is shown in Figure 1. The results show a plume of lice from the EMP sites extending northwards with mean densities between 0.01 lice m^{-2} and 0.1 lice m^{-2} . The plume does not impact Applecross Bay. Small areas of mean predicted density exceeding 0.1 lice m^{-2} are located around the Caol Mor sites.



Figure 3. Mean modelled infective lice density from 1st April – 23rd June 2023.

MOWI assessment

'For the Inner Sound wild salmon protection zone, which encompasses the Applecross river, and indeed all the zones in the area, the infection risk arising from the EMP sites is low (< 0.3 lice-days m-2).' During the period 2008 – 2013 some of the largest sea trout in the Wester Ross area were taken in Loch Gairloch (in front of the WRFT office!)

Sea trout, 580mm, taken in WRFT sweep net on 7th June 2010

Flowerdale, Gairloch 5th April 2023 268mm, 200+ sea lice 660 670 680 690 700 710 720 730 740 750 760 770 780 640 650 630 790 810 820 830

Boor Bay, Loch Ewe, coastal fyke net (for sea trout)

Catch dominated by juvenile gadids (pollack, cod, coalfish, poor cod) carrying high infestation of Cryptocotyle lingua



Kanaird, 6th June 2023



Sea lice monitoring 2023

Heavily lice infested sea trout in April 2023 at Gairloch and May 2023 at Applecross

Need to find a way to be able to share sea lice data from EMPs across Scotland to understand and analyse the regional sea lice situation in real time





Sea trout sampling in the River Torridon in 2015 . . .

Fyke net, 23 Oct – 9 Nov

Sweep netting, June & July

A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL

Torridon and Liathach by Lulu Strader, Sept 2010

Torridon estuary sweep netting, 15th June 2015...

just one sea trout, 500mm, no sea lice.

111 555 008 SIMESTOPPER



The River Torridon trout spawning burn fyke net project October – November 2015









Sea Lice Regulatory Framework Implementation

We will take on lead regulatory responsibility for managing sea lice and wild salmon interactions from 1st February 2024 and for managing sea lice and sea trout interactions from March 2025. Proposed Wild Salmon Protection Zones

Zone



About

Marine Directorate scientists are currently writing up results from many years of research and

monitoring in

Loch Torridon . . .

Scottish Government Riaghaltas na h-Alba

Publications

Statistics and research Blogs Consultations

Home > Publications

Publication - Research and analysis

Aquaculture Interactions: Shieldaig Field Station

Published: 16 September 2014 Directorate: Marine Directorate Part of: Marine and fisheries

Concerns regarding declining sea trout populations on the West Coast of Scotland in the late 1980s and early 1990s led Scottish Government to set up a long term monitoring programme on the River Shieldaig.







Search

Wild salmon post-smolts are also infected by sea lice

Young salmon from the River Carron have to pass many active salmon farms as they head out into the Minch. These fish can be exposed to very high numbers of sea lice.

Currently, young salmon from the Rivers in the Wester Ross MPA have few active salmon farms to pass.

Can wild salmon from rivers in the Wester Ross MPA be given more protection than those from rivers further south?





Tilbakemeldinger Q English



http://www.imr.no/lakseluskart/html/lakseluskart.html



Tilbakemeldinger English



http://www.imr.no/lakseluskart/html/lakseluskart.html

Thank you to:





MOWI®











WILD SALMONID SUPPORT

FUND















and to estates, members, other supporters, and many volunteers for lots of in-kind help in 2023